

REMARKS

Claims 1-27 and 59 are now pending in the application. Claims 1-27 are allowed as pending. Claim 59 has been amended to address the Examiner's indefiniteness rejection. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejection in view of the amendments and remarks contained herein.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claim 59 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

While Applicant disagrees that the use of a relative term makes Claim 59 indefinite, Applicant has nonetheless deleted the term to expedite allowance of the application.

ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the noting the allowance of Claims 1-27.

CONCLUSION

It is believed that all of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all presently outstanding rejections. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action and the present application is in condition for allowance. Thus, prompt and favorable consideration of this amendment is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: October 28, 2010

By: /Michael Malinzak/
Michael Malinzak, Reg. No. 43,770

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
P.O. Box 828
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303
(248) 641-1600

MM/jd

15734993.1